1	1				
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES, Supervising				
2					
3	Deputy Attorney General JESSICA M. AMGWERD, State Bar No. 155757  Deputy Attorney General				
4	Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94255-2550				
5					
6	Telephone: (916) 445-7376				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Agency Case No.: D1-96-3			
13	GERALD THOMAS LUNDBLAD	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER; DECLARATION OF			
14	2201 River Plaza Drive, # 103 Sacramento, California 95833	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL JESSICA M. AMGWERD			
15	Certified Public Accountant				
16	Certificate No.: 35462	j j			
17	Respondent.				
18		,			
19	Respondent Gerald Thomas L	undblad, CPA ("Respondent"), was served with			
20	the following: the Petition to Revoke Probation; Statement to Respondent; the Notice of				
21	Defense form as provided by Government Code sections 11503 and 11505; the former				
22	Accusation No. AC-96-3; and Stipulation, Decision and Order adopted November 6, 1996.				
23	Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense pursuant to Government Code §11506.				
24	Respondent is in default. The default of Respondent having been duly noted, the Board of				
25	Accountancy ("Board") has determined that Respondent waived his right to a hearing to contest				
26	the merits of the Petition to Revoke Probation	n. Further, this Board will take action on the			
27	Petition to Revoke Probation and evidence he	crein without a hearing, and makes the following			
28	findings of fact:				

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Petition to Revoke Probation was made and filed on September 1, 1998, by Carol Sigmann, in her official capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.
- 2. On or about July 30, 1982, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate number 35462 to Respondent. The certificate expired on an unknown date prior to March 25, 1989 through October 6, 1992 due to the following:
  - a. The renewal fee, required by the Business and Professions Code 5070.6, was not paid.
  - b. Declaration of compliance with continuing education regulations was not submitted.
- 3. On or about October 7, 1992, said certificate was renewed through October 31, 1993. From November 1, 1993 through December 16, 1993, the certificate was expired due to the reasons set forth in paragraphs 2 a and b above. On December 17, 1993, the certificate was renewed through October 31, 1995. The certificate expired on November 1, 1995, for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 2 a and b, and currently is in a delinquent status.
- 4. Respondent's license was disciplined by the Board in its order dated October 4, 1996, in Case No. AC-96-3, which Stipulation, Decision and Order placed the license on probation effective November 4, 1996. The Respondent admitted the violations charged in the Accusation. (True and correct copies of the Accusation; Stipulation, Decision, and Order are attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein as though fully set forth.)
- 5. The Stipulation, Decision and Order provides, among other things, that Respondent's certificate is revoked, with said revocation stayed and Respondent be placed upon a three (3) year probation, on terms and conditions which include:
  - 1. Respondent shall take and pass a Board approved ethics examination within one year from the effective date of the decision.
  - 2. Respondent shall reimburse the Board seven thousand two hundred and thirty eight (\$7,238.00) dollars for its investigation and prosecution costs. The payment shall be made in eleven (11)

1 quarterly payments of six hundred and fifty eight (\$658.00) dollars each, the final payment being 2 due three months before probation is scheduled to terminate 3 Respondent, within 10 days of completion of the 4. 4 quarter, shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board. 5 Respondent shall report to and make personal 5. 6 appearances at meetings of the Administrative Committee at the Board's notification, provided 7 such notification is accomplished in a timely manner. 8 6. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the Board of 9 Accountancy, and with any of its agents or employees in their supervision and investigation 10 of his compliance with the terms and conditions of this probation including the Board's Probation 11 Surveillance Compliance Program. 12 11. If the Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice 13 and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was 14 stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during 15 probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period 16 of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.1/ 17 18 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 19 Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, Respondent's license is subject to discipline for gross negligence under Business and Professions Code section 5100(c) by reason 20 of the statement of facts set forth in paragraphs 7 through 11 of the Petition to Revoke 21 22 Probation. 23 Failure Relating to Ethics Course 24 6. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation, thus, grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of 25 Respondent's certificate. In correspondence dated October 4, 1996, Respondent was informed 26 27 The paragraph numbers refer to the numbering in the Stipulation, Decision, and Order (Exhibit A, Stipulation). 28

of his responsibility to take and pass a Board approved ethics course by November 4, 1997. Respondent has not taken this course.

### Failure to Pay Quarterly Payments

- 7. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of Respondent's certificate. Respondent failed to submit his quarterly payments of \$658.00, due October 10, 1997, January 10, 1998, April 10, 1998, and July 10, 1998.
- 8. The first two quarterly payments were submitted late, after multiple requests from the Board. The first payment due January 10, 1997 was not paid until March 26, 1997, even though Respondent was informed of this required payment on October 4, 1996, February 6, 1997, March 6, 1997, and March 24, 1997. The second quarterly payment was due April 10, 1997, and was not paid until June 9, 1997, even though Respondent was informed that the second payment had not been received on May 2, 1997, and again on May 23, 1997.

### Failure to Submit Quarterly Reports

- 9. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of Respondent's certificate. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation. Under the probation term, Respondent was to submit the quarterly written reports within ten days of completion of the quarter. Respondent has not submitted the following quarterly reports which are past due: quarter ended June 30, 1997 (due July 10, 1997); quarter ended September 30, 1997 (due October 10, 1997); quarter ended December 31, 1997 (due January 10, 1998); and quarter ended March 31, 1998 (due April 10, 1998); and, quarter ended June 30, 1998 (due July 10, 1998).
- 10. Respondent was notified of his failure to submit the quarterly reports. On July 17, 1997, the Board sent correspondence to Respondent informing him that the Board did not receive his quarterly report for the quarter ended June 30, 1997, and that he was in violation of probation.
- 11. The first two quarterly reports were submitted late, after multiple requests from the Board. Respondent submitted the first quarterly report for the period ended

December 31, 1996 (due January 10, 1997), to the Administrative Committee on March 6, 1998. On February 6, 1997, Respondent was instructed to submit the past due quarterly report. The second quarterly report for the period March 31, 1997 (due on April 10, 1997), was not sent to the Board until June 9, 1997. Respondent was instructed on May 2, 1997 and May 23, 1997, to submit this tardy report. <sup>2</sup>

## Failure to Appear Before the Administrative Committee

- 12. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of Respondent's certificate. Under the terms of the probation, Respondent was required to report and make personal appearances before the Administrative Committee after being timely notified by the Board. The Board notified Respondent he was required to attend an Administrative Committee meeting on April 23, 1998, through a letter dated March 17, 1998.
- 13. Despite being timely notified, Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation by failing to appear before the Administrative Committee for a noticed hearing held April 23, 1998.

## Respondent's Lack of Cooperation

14. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of Respondent's certificate. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation requiring cooperation with the Board of Accountancy. This lack of cooperation includes: failure to complete the ethics course, failure to submit the required quarterly reports, failure to make costs reimbursement payments, and failure to attend the noticed Administrative Committee hearing.

## SUFFICIENCY OF PLEADING AND SERVICE OF PLEADING

The Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Jessica M. Amgwerd, attached hereto and incorporated by reference, states that the evidence is sufficient to support the filing ///

The information in the quarterly reports is necessary to allow the Board to properly supervise Respondent's activities during probation so that the Board may properly protect the public interest.

	1	of a pleading in this case and that service of the pleading on Respondent was accomplished in		
	2	accordance with the California Administrative Procedure Act.		
2				
	4	LOCATION OF RECORD		
	5	The record on which this Default Decision and Order is based is located at the		
	6	Sacramento office of the Board of Accountancy.		
	7	ORDER		
	8	WHEREFORE, for the aforesaid causes, the Board of Accountancy makes its		
		order vacating the stay and reimposing the order of revocation of Certified Public Accountant		
	9	Number CPA 35462, heretofore issued to Respondent Gerald Thomas Lundblad.		
	.0	Respondent shall not be deprived of making any further showing by way of		
	1	mitigation. However, such showing must be made to the Board of Accountancy, 2000		
1	2    .	Evergreen Street, Suite 250, Sacramento, California 95815-3832, prior to the effective date of		
1.	3   1	this Decision.		
1	4	This Decision shall become effective on the 6 day of JANUARY, 1999.		
15	5   I	Executed this 7 day of DECEMBER, 1998.		
16	5	Í /		
17	7			
18		H.E. Milloln		
19		Board President VICE PRESIDENT		
20		Board of Accountancy  Department of Consumer Affairs		
21		State of California		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	c:\da i:\all\	t\amgwerd\lundblad\default.1(8.0) amgwerd\lundblad\default.1(8.0)		
14				

	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES, Supervising Deputy Attorney General JESSICA M. AMGWERD, State Bar No. 1: Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94255-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-7376		
	7 Attorneys for Complainant		
	BEFORE THE		
	BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	II TIME OF THE FEITING IN RAVARA	Agency Case No.: D1-96-3	
12	II.	DECLARATION OF	
13	GERALD THOMAS LUNDBLAD 2201 River Plaza Drive, # 103 Sacramento, California 95833	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL JESSICA M. AMGWERD	
14	Certified Public Accountant	REGARDING SUFFICIENCY AND SERVICE OF PETITION TO	
15	Certificate No.: 35462	REVOKE PROBATION	
16	Respondent.	<i>t</i> 1	
17			
18	I, JESSICA M. AMGWERD, d	leclare:	
19	1. I am a Deputy Attorney	General employed by the State of California,	
20	Department of Justice. My business address is	1300 "I" Street, Suite 125, Sacramento.	
21	California 95814. I am one of the attorneys of	record for Complainant in this proceeding	
22	2. I have reviewed the evidence in this case and find it legally sufficient to		
23	support the pleading filed in this matter.	and to acquirity dufficient to	
24		d (hereinafter "Respondent"), has been a	
25	licensee of the Board of Accountancy (hereinaf	ter "Board") and was issued Certificate No	
26	CPA No. 35462 on July 30, 1982. The certificate is currently in a delinquent status, and has		
27	not been renewed. The address of record on file with the Board for Respondent is 2201 River		
28	Plaza Drive, # 103, Sacramento, California 9583	33.	

4. On April 15, 1996, Accusation No. AC-96-3 was filed by the Board against Respondent. Thereafter Respondent entered into a Stipulation, Decision, and Order, placing him on probation. Respondent has violated various provisions in said Stipulation, Decision, and Order. Thus, on September 1, 1998, the Board filed the Petition to Revoke Probation, Agency Case No. D1-96-3. On September 9, 1998, at my direction, the Petition together with supporting papers and information, was sent via first class and certified mail, Number P967 853 774, to Gerald Thomas Lundblad, 2201 River Plaza Drive, # 103, Sacramento, California 95833.

- 5. A receipt for the packet sent by certified mail was received by this office. Said receipt indicated the Petition to Revoke Probation packet was received and signed on September 10, 1998. The packet sent by first class mail has not been returned and is presumed to have been received.
- 6. No response to these mailings has been received by this office, nor has a Notice of Defense been filed by Respondent. Per Government Code section 11506, a Respondent has fifteen (15) days after receiving a copy of the Accusation to respond; if Respondent fails to do so, Respondent waives the right to a hearing.

Furthermore, pursuant to Government Code section 11505(c), service is effective when the Accusation is mailed to the licensee at the last address filed with the Board, whether or not the licensee has actual knowledge of the Accusation. In this case, Respondent failed to respond to the Accusation within fifteen (15) days of mailing, or at any other time.

The statements contained herein are based upon my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness in this matter, I could and would testify competently thereto. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 2 day of November, 1998, at Sacramento, California.

28 c:\dat\amgwerd\lundblad\declare.1(8.0) i:\all\amgwerd\lundblad\declare.1(8.0)

JESSICA M. AMGWERD Deputy Attorney General

	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California	
á	2 JOEL S. PRIMES	
3	The state of the s	
. 4		
5	$\frac{1}{2}$	
6	11	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSIDER TO S	
10		
11	THE OF CAME OR AND	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
13	GERALD THOMAS LUNDBLAD NO. D. 1.06.2	
14	2201 River Plaza Dr. #103 Sacramento, CA 95833  PETITION TO REVOKE	
15	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. ) PROBATION	
16	35462	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	CAROL SIGMANN alleges:	
20	1. Complainant, Carol Sigmann, is the Executive Officer of the California	
21	State Board of Accountancy (hereinafter the "Board") and brings this petition solely in her	
22	official capacity.	
23	LICENSE HISTORY AND DISCIPLINARY HISTORY	
24	2. On or about July 30, 1982, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant	
25	certificate number CPA 35462 to Gerald Thomas Lundblad (hereinafter "respondent"). The	
26	///	
27	<i>111</i> .	

certificate expired on an unknown date prior to March 25, 1989 through October 6, 1992 due to 2 the following: a) The renewal fee, required by the Business and Professions Code 5070.6, was not paid. 4 b) Declaration of compliance with continuing education 5 regulations was not submitted. 6 On or about October 7, 1992, said certificate was renewed through 3. 7 October 31, 1993. From November 1, 1993 through December 16, 1993, the certificate was expired due to the reasons set forth in paragraphs 2 a) and b). On December 17, 1993, the 8 certificate was renewed through October 31, 1995. The certificate expired on November 1, 1995, 9 for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 2 a) and b), currently, is in a delinquent status. 10 11 Respondent's license was disciplined by the Board in its order dated October 4, 1996, in case no. AC-96-3, which Stipulation, Decision and Order placed the license 12 13 on probation effective November 4, 1996. The Respondent admitted the violations charged in the accusation. (True and correct copies of the Accusation; Stipulation, Decision, and Order are 14 attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein as though fully set forth.) 15 16 The Stipulation, Decision and Order provides, among other things, that 17 Respondent's certificate is revoked, with said revocation stayed and Respondent be placed upon a three (3) year probation, on terms and conditions which include: 18 19 1. Respondent shall take and pass a Board approved ethics examination within one year from the effective date of the 20 decision. 21 2. Respondent shall reimburse the Board seven thousand two hundred and thirty eight (\$7,238.00) dollars for its investigation 22 and prosecution costs. The payment shall be made in eleven (11) quarterly payments of six hundred and fifty eight (\$658.00) dollars 23 each, the final payment being due three months before probation is scheduled to terminate. 24 25 4. Respondent, within 10 days of completion of the quarter, shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board on a form obtained 26 from the Board. 27

-	5. Respondent shall report to and make personal appearances at meetings of the Administrative Committee at the board's notification, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
2	6. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the Board of
5	conditions of this probation including the Board's Probation
6	
7 8	after giving Respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, revoke probation and carry out the disciplination.
9	against respondent during probation the Devote probation is filed
10	continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final. 1
11	STATUTES AND REGULATIONS
. 12	6. California Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 5100 provides
13	that the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the
14	Board, or may censure the holder of any such permit or certificate.
15	Pursuant to section 118(b) of the Code, the suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by
16	operation of law of a license issued by the Board shall not, during any period within which it
17	may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated, deprive the Board of its authority to institute or
18	continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to
19	enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against
20	the licensee on any such ground.
21	Section 5070.6 of the Code provides that an expired permit may be renewed at
22	any time within five years after its expiration upon compliance with certain requirements.
23	///
24	
25	
26   27	1. The paragraph numbers used refer to the numbering in the Stipulation, Decision, and Order (Exhibit A, Stipulation).
11	

# GROUNDS FOR VACATING THE STAY OF REVOCATION

- 7. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation, thus, grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of respondent's certificate. In correspondence dated October 4, 1996, respondent was informed of his responsibility to take and pass a Board Approved ethics course by November 4, 1997. Respondent has not taken this course.
- 8. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of respondent's certificate in that respondent failed to submit his quarterly payments of \$658, due October 10, 1997, January 10, 1998, April 10, 1998, and July 10, 1998. Additionally, the first 2 quarterly payments were submitted late, after multiple requests from the Board. The first payment due January 10, 1997 was not paid until March 26, 1997. Further, respondent was informed of this required payment on October 4, 1996, February 6, 1997, March 6, 1997, and March 24, 1997. The second quarterly payment was due April 10, 1997, and was not paid until June 9, 1997. On May 2, 1997, and again on May 23, 1997, respondent was informed that the payment had not been received.
- 9. Grounds exist for vacating the stay heretofore issued and reimposing the order of revocation of respondent's certificate. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation. Under the probation term, respondent was to submit the quarterly written reports within 10 days of completion of the quarter. Respondent has not submitted 4 quarterly reports which are past due: quarter ended June 30, 1997 (due July 10, 1997); quarter ended September 30, 1997 (due October 10, 1997); quarter ended December 31, 1997 (due January 10, 1998); and quarter ended March 31, 1998 (due April 10, 1998); and, quarter ended June 30, 1998 (due July 10, 1998).

On July 17, 1997, correspondence was sent to respondent informing him that the Board did not receive his quarterly report for the quarter ended June 30, 1997, and that he was in violation of probation.

1//

Additionally, the first 2 quarterly reports were submitted late, after multiple requests from the Board. The first quarterly report for the period ended December 31, 1996, due January 10, 1997, was not given to the Administrative Committee until March 6, 1998. On February 6, 1997, respondent was instructed to submit the past due quarterly report. The second quarterly report for the period March 31, 1997, due on April 10, 1997, was not sent to the Board until June 9, 1997. Respondent was instructed on May 2, 1997 and May 23, 1997, to submit this tardy report.<sup>2</sup>

- order of revocation of respondent's certificate. Under the terms of the probation, respondent was required to report and make personal appearances at meetings of the Administrative Committee at the Board's notification, upon timely notification. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation in that he did not appear before the Administrative Committee for a noticed hearing held April 23, 1998. Correspondence dated March 17, 1998 notified respondent of this hearing.
- order of revocation of respondent's certificate. Respondent failed to comply with the terms of his probation requiring cooperation with the Board of Accountancy. This lack of cooperation includes: failure to complete the ethics course, failure to submit the required quarterly reports, failure to make costs reimbursement payments, and failure to attend the noticed Administrative Committee hearing.

2. The information in the quarterly reports is necessary to allow the Board to properly supervise respondent's activities during probation so that the Board may properly protect the public interest.

#### 1 PRAYER WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following said hearing, the Board issue a decision: 4 5 Vacating the stay and reimposing the order of revocation of Certified 1. Public Accountant Number CPA 35462, heretofore issued to respondent Gerald Thomas Lundblad; 7 8 Taking such other and further action as the Board deems proper. ember 1, 1998 9 10 11 12 Carol Sigmann Executive Officer 13 Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs 14 State of California 15 Complainant 16 17 18 03541110 SA98AD0911 19 I:\all\AMGWERD\lundblad.p 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 JOEL S. PRIMES Supervising Deputy Attorney General STEVEN M. KAHN, State Bar No. 53846 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 4 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 5 Telephone: (916) 324-5338 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 9 BEFORE THE . 10 BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 In the Matter of the Accusation 13 No. AC-96-3 Against: 14 GERALD THOMAS LUNDBLAD 2201 River Plaza Dr., #103 STIPULATION, DECISION 15 Sacramento, CA 95833 AND ORDER 16 Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 35462 17 Respondent. 18 19 . IT IS STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS: 20 On or about July 30, 1982, respondent Gerald Thomas 21 Lundblad (hereinafter "respondent") was issued Certified Public 22 Accountant Certificate No. 35462 under the laws of the State of 23 California. The certificate was expired during the period from 24 on or about November 1, 1987 through on or about October 6, 1992, 25 was renewed on or about October 7, 1992, expired on or about 26 November 1, 1993, was renewed from on or about December 17, 1993 27 ///

through on or about October 31, 1995, expired November 1, 1995, and has not been renewed.

- 2. On or about April 15, 1996, an Accusation bearing number AC-96-3 was filed by Carol Sigmann, Executive Officer of the Board of Accountancy of the State of California, in her official capacity as such. The Accusation alleged causes for disciplinary action against respondent Lundblad, and the Accusation is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at this point. Respondent was duly and properly served with Accusation number AC-96-3 by certified mail, and respondent filed a timely notice of defense requesting a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation.
- 13 3. Respondent Lundblad has decided to represent himself in this proceeding. Respondent is fully aware of the charges and allegations of violations of the California Business and Professions Code alleged in Accusation number AC-96-3 and is fully aware of his rights under the Administrative Procedure Act of the State of California, including his rights to a formal hearing and opportunity to defend against the charges contained therein, and reconsideration and appeal of any adverse decision that might be rendered following said hearing. Respondent knowingly and intelligently waives his rights to a hearing, reconsideration, appeal, and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act regarding the charges contained in Accusation number AC-96-3 subject, however, to the provisions of paragraph 6 herein. ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

26

2

3

Commencing in or about 1985, respondent agreed to provide the annual returns, form 990, for the Sacramento District Dental Society.

6

7

8

B(1). Respondent was grossly negligent in the performance of public accountancy in violation of Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (c), in that he failed to prepare the returns for 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992.

9 10

11

12

13

14

B(2). Respondent was dishonest in the performance of public accountancy in violation of Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (c), in that he repeatedly assured his client that levies by the Internal Revenue Service in 1991 and 1992 were the result of an incorrect tax identification number when, in truth and in fact, respondent knew that the levies were the result of a failure to file payroll tax returns and year end forms 990 for those periods.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

C. Commencing in or about May 1993, the Sacramento District Dental Society made numerous requests to respondent for their records. Until on or about December 30, 1993, respondent failed to return any of the records. On or about December 30, 1993, respondent returned some records, but thereafter failed to return any additional records. Respondent's conduct violated Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (f), of the Code together with section 5037, subdivision (b), of the Code and section 68 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations.

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

D(1). During the period from on or about November 1, 1987 to on or about October 12, 1992, respondent's certified public accountant certificate was expired.

D(2). During this period, respondent engaged in the practice of public accountancy without having a valid certificate in violation of Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (f), together with section 5050 of the Code.

- Pursuant to the admissions in paragraph 4 hereinabove, respondent Lundblad admits that his certified public accountant certificate is subject to disciplinary action.
- In the event that this Stipulation, Decision and Order is not adopted by the Board of Accountancy of the State of California, the stipulations and characterizations of law and fact made by all parties herein shall be null, void, and inadmissible in any proceeding involving the parties to it. Respondent acknowledges that he shall not be permitted to withdraw from this stipulation unless it is rejected by the Board of Accountancy. A signed facsimile (FAX) of this stipulation shall be as binding as the original.

WHEREFORE, it is stipulated that the Board of Accountancy may issue the following decision and order:

Certified public accountant's certificate number 35462 issued to respondent Gerald Thomas Lundblad is hereby revoked, provided, however, that said revocation shall be stayed and respondent shall be placed upon probation for a period of three (3) years upon the following terms and conditions: ///

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

- 1. Respondent shall take and pass a Board approved ethics examination within one year from the effective date of the decision
- 2. Respondent shall reimburse the Board seven thousand two hundred and thirty eight (\$7,238.00) dollars for its investigation and prosecution costs. The payment shall be made in eleven (11) quarterly payments of six hundred and fifty eight (\$658.00) dollars each, the final payment being due three months before probation is scheduled to terminate.
- Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- Respondent, within 10 days of completion of the quarter, shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board.
- Respondent shall report to and make personal appearances at meetings of the Administrative Committee at the Board's notification, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- Respondent shall cooperate fully with the Board of Accountancy, and with any of its agents or employees in their supervision and investigation of his compliance with the terms and conditions of this probation including the Board's Probation Surveillance Compliance Program.
- Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, a practice investigation of the respondent's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by

representatives of the Board whenever designated by the Administrative Committee, provided notification to respondent of such an investigation is accomplished in a timely manner.

8. Respondent shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board of Accountancy.

- 9. In the event respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.
- 10. In the event the respondent fails to satisfactorily complete any provision of the order of probation, which failure results in the cessation of practice, all other provisions of probation other than the quarterly report requirements, examination requirements, education requirements, and Administrative Committee appearances, shall be held in abeyance until respondent is permitted to resume practice. All provisions of probation shall recommence on the effective date of resumption of practice. Periods of cessation of practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.
- the Board, after giving respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and

	the period of make.
;	the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
	Upon successful completion of probation
	respondent's license will be fully restored
	DATED: August 16,1996.
6	5
7	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California STEVEN M. KAHN
8	
9	
10	
11	STEVEN M. KAHN Deputy Attorney General
12	
13	·
14	I HAVE READ the Stipulation, Decision and Order. I understand I have the winderstand I have
15	understand I have the right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Acquestion to
16	in the Accusation, the right to cross-examine witnesses, and the
17	Incroduce evidence in mitigation. I knowingly and
18	intelligently waive all of these rights, and understand that by
	July Chis Scipulation, I am permitting the Board of
19	independently to impose discipline against my certificate
20	once I sign this stipulation I shall not if
21	repeted to withdraw from it unless it is rejected by the
22.	of Accountancy. I understand the terms and ramifications of the
23	Stipulation, Decision and Order, and agree to be bound by its
24	terms.
25	DATED:
26	Aug 13 1996
27	GERALD THOMAS LUNDBLAD
	Respondent

### 

# 

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing is adopted as the Decision of the Board of Accountancy in this matter and shall become effective on the <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.org/">4th day of November 1996.</a>

IT IS SO ORDERED this 4th: day of October

1996.

BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By ROKEN S. Su.